



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/533,180 | 04/29/2005 | Peter John James | FISHER-E | 7167 |
| 79341 | 7590 | 04/30/2010 | EXAMINER | |
| KRUGLIAK, WILKINS, GRIFFITHS & DOUGHERTY CO, LPA 4775 MUNSON STREET N.W. P.O. BOX 36963 CANTON, OH 44735-6963 | | | | O'HERN, BRENT T |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1783 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 04/30/2010 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/533,180 | JAMES, PETER JOHN | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: claims rejected

(1) BRENT T. O'HERN (examiner). (3) ____.
 (2) Brent Moore. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2010

Time: 5:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brent T O'Hern/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1783

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant confirmed that the WEB links on page 4 of the Specification as filed refer to the 226 page NPL document filed 4/29/2005. The fourth NPL item on the IDS filed 4/29/2005 originates from the ISR and does not appear to be a reference. Applicant advised the Examiner that a Supplemental IDS will be filed with the publication date of the Woods NPL reference listed on the IDS.